Prioritizing Processing with Value Scores

Laura Uglean Jackson Archives and Special Collections Librarian University of Northern Colorado (UNC)

Laura.ugleanjackson@unco.edu

Presentation Outline

- How we prioritize processing at UNC
- Implementing another institution's rubric
- Benefits
- Challenges
- Potential changes

Context: Giant Backlog Collections management fiasco

Necessary to review every collection

Decided to score each collection during the project

Univ. of California Value Score Rubric, 2017

Scale	User Interest	Quality of Documentation (Research Value)	Institutional value	Object value
1	Negligible	Slight	Negligible	Negligible
2	Slight	Limited	Limited	Limited
3	Moderate	Pertinent, average	Moderate	Moderate
4	High	Important, extensive	High	High
5	Very high	Unique, very rich	Very high	Very high

Source:

https://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/groups/files/hosc/docs/_Efficient_Archival_Processing_Guidelines_v3-1.pdf

Testing and Implementation

- Testing: useful for ensuring categories were appropriate and understood
- Value score assigned during minimal DACS project
- Added to ASpace Resource record

llection Mana	gement	Add Collection Management Fields
Processing Priority	11-15 (Moderate)	~
Rights determined?		
Processing Plan	Value score: 12 User interest: 4 (moderate) Quality of Documentation: 3 (pertinent, average) Institutional value: 3 (moderate) Object value: 2 (limited)	

Benefits of Value Score

- Able to start processing more important collections immediately
- Useful set of data

title	processing_priority_enum_s	Value Score	Extent (linear feet)	Processor	Date Completed
University of Northern Colorado, President Zachariah Xenophon Snyder records	11-15 (Moderate)	12	5.75	Kate	2019
University of Northern Colorado, Commencement records	11-15 (Moderate)	15	9.85	Laura	2019
University of Northern Colorado, Student Affairs collection	11-15 (Moderate)	15	8.65	Laura	2019
Morris Pierce papers on University of Northern Colorado buildings and grounds	16-18 (High)	16	4.58	Shan	Nov-19
University of Northern Colorado, President Kay Norton records	11-15 (Moderate)	13	15.7	Kate	Aug-20
University of Northern Colorado, Little Theatre of the Rockies records	16-18 (High)	17	23.8	Shan	Dec-20
University of Northern Colorado, Academic Vice Presidents and Similar Authorities records	16-18 (High)	16	45.38	Laura	Jan-21
University of Northern Colorado, Native American Student Services records	16-18 (High)	16	6.9	Laura	Fall 2019
University of Northern Colorado, Student Activities collection	11-15 (Moderate)	14	7.35	Aubry	Spring 2020
University of Northern Colorado, Anthropology Museum records	11-15 (Moderate)	11	25.7	Shan	Spring 2020

List of collections processed, with value score

Challenges

- Important collections have low scores
- Example: UNC Counseling Center records = 6
- Categories not as useful

FALL SEMESTER 1989 GROUPS

RG956

UNIVERSITY COUNSELING CENTER Gordon Hall Phone: 351-2496

<u>ADULT CHILDREN OF ALCOHOLICS</u> is a therapy support group which will explore how being raised in an alcoholic or otherwise emotionally repressive family can be a present influence on behavior and feelings. Discussion and selfexploration can promote greater awareness of communication and relationship patterns. The group, which will meet Tuesdays, 6:00-7:30 beginning Oct.10, will be co-facilitated by Steve Birchak and John Nixon.

ASSERTIVE TRAINING GROUP: You know what you want, but have trouble getting what you need. Through group discussion and participation, you can learn how to express your needs effectively and non-aggressively. Develop a belief system having a high regard for your personal rights and the rights of others. An opportunity to practice assertive interactions in school, social, and work settings will be offered by facilitator John Nixon. The workshop begins October 12, 6:00-7:30 p.m.

EATING DISORDERS AND BODY IMAGE THERAPY GROUP is offered for persons who experience compulsive eating behaviors such as binging episodes followed by extensive dieting, fasting, excessive exercising or purging. These bulimic type behaviors are often related to a negative body image. The group meets from 3:00 to 4:30 on Tuesdays starting October 10. Group facilitators: Dr. Joyce Washington and Erin Fonken. Call for pre-group screening interview.

<u>GAY MEN SUPPORT GROUP</u>: This group is intended for gay men dealing with issues relating to being gay. The focus will be on issues such as the coming-out process, what it means to be gay, homophobia, gay relationships, dealing with family and friends. The group is led by Bob Courtney and will meet 3:30-5:00 Thursdays beginning October 12th. Strict confidentiality will be observed.

LESBIAN SUPPORT GROUP will be offered Fall Semester. This is a supportive/ therapy group for women. Emphasis will be on discussing areas of concern to all members. The group will meet once per week and is facilitated by Kathy Jurgens. The group will meet Tuesdays, 3:30-5:00.

<u>RELATIONSHIP GROUP</u>: "Relationships -- Can't live with them, can't live without them." This group is open to persons interested in more personally meaningful relationships. Explore the quality of current relationships (family, roommates, friends, etc...) and learn to more constructively deal with issues and conflicts through group sharing and support. Group meets Thursdays, 5:30-7:00 p.m. starting October 12. Please call 351-2496 to arrange for a pre-session appointment with Molly Allen or Kent Becker, group facilitators.

TEST ANXIETY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM is designed for those who cannot seem to do their best on tests because of excess anxiety resulting from the testing experience itself. The program assists students to relax during tests in order to enhance the recall of learned material. It can also be useful to help alleviate stress in other performance areas such as speeches, recitals, and presentations.

WOMEN'S SUPPORT GROUP: Are you interested in exploring the changes taking

Potential Future Changes

Current Categories

- 1. User Interest
- 2. Quality of Documentation
- 3. Institutional Value
- 4. Object Value

New Categories:

- DEI-focused
- Demonstrated use or Used by Staff
- Restrictions/barriers to use (from new UC rubric)

What categories would you use?

New UC Rubric (p.35): <u>https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4b81g01z#page=35</u>

Changing the Categories

- Time consuming project
- How should we change the scores?
- Would we need to change all the scores? Or only those we touch?
 - New accretions
 - Used in reference
- Two different criteria an issue?
- What do you think?

Thank you!

Email me: laura.ugleanjackson@unco.edu